

# On the Uniqueness of Individual Demand at Almost Every Price System

WALTER TROCKEL \*

*Department of Economics, SFB 21, University of Bonn, West Germany*

Received January 20, 1983; revised September 28, 1983

A simple new proof, based on Fubini's theorem, is given for the uniqueness of individual demand at almost every price system, even if preferences are nonconvex.

*Journal of Economic Literature* Classification Number: 022.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The fact that even in case of a nonconvex preference relation the demand set is a singleton at almost every budget situation has been proved by Mas-Colell [2] and by Mas-Colell and Neufeind [3]. Mas-Colell's proof made use of the theory of Hausdorff measures on lower dimensional subspaces of a Euclidian space. The proof due to Mas-Colell and Neufeind relies on an application of the projection theorem for analytic sets due to Marczewski and Ryll-Nardzewski [1] together with Fubini's theorem. In the present note I shall give a new proof which relies only on the disintegration theorem (cf. Parthasarathy, [4, Theorem 8.1, p. 147]), i.e., a general version of Fubini's theorem.

## 2. RESULT

Consider  $l \geq 2$  perfectly divisible commodities. An agent is described by his *consumption set*  $P$ , the positive orthant of the commodity space  $\mathbb{R}^l$ , his *preference relation*  $\succsim$  a reflexive, transitive, continuous binary relation on  $P$ , and his wealth  $w \in L \equiv (0, \infty)$ . The preference relation  $\succsim$  is moreover

\* A grant of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft under which this note was written is gratefully acknowledged.

assumed to be *weakly monotone*, i.e.,  $(\forall i \in \{1, \dots, l\}: x_i > y_i) \Rightarrow (x > y)$ . The space of normalized *price systems*  $p$  is  $L^{l-1}$ . Denote by  $\lambda^{l-1}$  and  $\lambda^1$  the Lebesgue measures on  $(L^{l-1}, \mathcal{B}(L^{l-1}))$  and on  $(L, \mathcal{B}(L))$ , respectively, and by  $\#M$  the cardinality of the set  $M$ . The demand set of an agent, described by  $(\succcurlyeq, w)$  at the price system  $p$  is

$$\varphi(\succcurlyeq, w, p) = \{x \in P \mid (y > x) \Rightarrow (py > w)\},$$

i.e., the set of  $\succcurlyeq$ -maximal commodity bundles in his budget set.

**PROPOSITION.** *Let  $\succcurlyeq$  be a weakly monotone continuous preference relation on  $P$ . Then*

$$\lambda^{l-1} \times \lambda^1(\{(p, w) \in L^l \mid \#\varphi(\succcurlyeq, w, p) > 1\}) = 0.$$

*Proof.* By Fubini's theorem any measurable set of line segments in an  $(l-1)$ -dimensional cube is an  $\lambda^{l-1}$ -null set. Although only this is needed, in case  $l=2$  the even stronger statement that a line can contain at most countably many disjoint segments is well known. To simplify notation we choose in the following  $l=2$  without loss of generality.

Let  $\mu$  be a probability on  $(L^2, \mathcal{B}(L^2))$  which is equivalent to  $\lambda^2$ , i.e., which has the same null sets as  $\lambda^2$ . Let the utility function  $u$  represent  $\succcurlyeq$  and let  $v$  be the indirect utility function defined by

$$v: L^2 \rightarrow L: (p_1, w) \mapsto u(\varphi(\succcurlyeq, w, p)).$$

The map  $v$  is a continuous, hence measurable map onto its image. Therefore, since  $L^2$  and  $\text{image}(v)$  are Polish spaces,  $\mu$  has a disintegration

$$\mu = \int_L \zeta_t \mu \circ v^{-1}(dt),$$

where the probabilities  $\zeta_t$  on  $L^2$  live on the fibres  $v^{-1}(t)$ ,  $t \in \text{image}(v)$ . One can easily derive that  $\zeta_t$  is equivalent to  $\lambda^2$ , hence atomless for  $\mu \circ v^{-1}$ —almost every  $t \in L$ . This follows from the translation invariance of the Lebesgue measure  $\lambda^2$ .

Denote by  $N$  the measurable set of pairs  $(p_1, w) \in L^2$  with  $\#\varphi(\succcurlyeq, w, p) > 1$ . For any  $t \in \text{image}(v)$  the set  $N \cap v^{-1}(t)$  can be at most countable, since an indifference curve can have at most countably many disjoint line segments. Therefore we get

$$\lambda^2(N) = \mu(N) = \int_L \zeta_t(N) \mu \circ v^{-1}(dt) = \int_L \zeta_t(N \cap v^{-1}(t)) \mu \circ v^{-1}(dt) = 0. \quad \blacksquare$$

## REFERENCES

1. E. MARCZEWSKI AND C. RYLL-NARDZEWSKI, Projections in abstract sets, *Fund. Math.* **40** (1953), 160–164.
2. A. MAS-COLELL, A remark on a smoothness property of convex, complete preorders. *J. Math. Econom.* **3** (1976), 103–105.
3. A. MAS-COLELL AND W. NEUEFEIND, Some generic properties of aggregate excess demand and an application, *Econometrica* **45** (1977), 591–599.
4. K. R. PARTHASARATHY, “Probability Measures on Metric Spaces,” Academic Press, New York, 1967.